

REPORT

Annual Environmental Return EPBC 2009/4974 Gas Fields

Q-LNG01-15-RP-0257

Australia Pacific LNG Upstream Phase 1

EPBC 2009/4974 Gas Fields

Revisi	on Date	Description	Originator	Checked	QA/Eng	Approved
	N 2000					
0	09/03/2011	Issued for Use	Simon Pollock	Vicki Low	Belinda Jones	Rob Ully LM
Α	02/03/2011	Issued for Review	Simon Pollock	Vicki Low		

Uncontrolled when printed unless issued and stamped Controlled Copy.

Release Notice

This document is available through the Australia Pacific LNG (APLNG) Upstream Phase 1 Project controlled document system TeamBinder™. The responsibility for ensuring that printed copies remain valid rests with the user. Once printed, this is an uncontrolled document unless issued and stamped Controlled Copy.

Third-party issue can be requested via the APLNG Upstream Phase 1 Project Document Control Group.

Document Conventions

The following terms in this document apply:

- Will, shall or must indicate a mandatory course of action
- Should indicates a recommended course of action
- May or can indicate a possible course of action.

Document Custodian

The custodian of this document is the APLNG Upstream Phase 1 Project – [Project Management Office Manager]. The custodian is responsible for maintaining and controlling changes (additions and modifications) to this document and ensuring the stakeholders validate any changes made to this document.

Deviations from Document

Any deviation from this document must be approved by the APLNG Upstream Phase 1 Project - [Project Management Office Manager].

Table of Contents

1.	ntroduction4	Ļ
	.1. Purpose and Scope	ļ
	.2. Definitions & abbreviations 5	;
	1.2.1. Abbreviations5	5
	1.2.2. Document references	5
2.	nnual Environmental Return6)
	2.1. Compliance)
	2.2. Matters of National Environmental Significance6)
	2.3. Non-Compliances6)
	2.4. Amendments to Plans)
	2.5. Variations to the Approval6)
3.	Reconciliation of Disturbance Limits	,
List	f Tables	
Tabl	1: Abbreviations5	
Tabl	2: Associated Document References5	,

1. Introduction

The Australia Pacific LNG Project (Project) was referred to the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities (DSEWPaC) on 6 July 2009 for determination on whether the proposal constitutes a controlled action requiring approval under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

Australia Pacific LNG made three separate referrals for the different components of the Project. The approval of relevance to this report is:

• EPBC 2009/4974 - Gas Fields.

On 3 August 2009, the Minister determined that each referral constitutes a controlled action under the EPBC Act.

Under a Bilateral Agreement between the Commonwealth and Queensland, the Commonwealth accredited the Queensland *State Development Public Works Organisation Act 1971* (SDPWO Act) EIS process to meet the assessment requirements under Part 8 of the EPBC Act and a coordinated assessment was undertaken. Approval from the Minister, The Hon. Tony Bourke MP, for all Project components was granted 21 February 2011.

On 21 February 2011, Australia Pacific LNG received approval to develop, construct, operate and decommission the coal seam gas field component of the Australia Pacific LNG Project in the Walloons gas fields within the Surat Basin in south central Queensland.

1.1. Purpose and Scope

Condition 112 of the EPBC Act approval (EPBC 2009/4974) requires an Annual Environmental Return be submitted to DSEWPaC to identify compliances and non-compliances relating to the conditions of approval and matters of national environmental significance (MNES). This report has been prepared in accordance with the condition of approval.

Additionally, in accordance with condition 113, the Annual Environmental Return will be published on the Project website within 20 business days of the anniversary date.

1.2. Definitions & abbreviations

1.2.1. Abbreviations

Table 1: Abbreviations

Abbreviation Description

EPBC Act Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999

EM Plan Environmental Management Plan

DSEWPaC Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and

Communities

HDD Horizontal Directional Drilling

Ha Hectares

MNES Matters of National Environmental Significance

PPL Petroleum Pipeline Licence

SDPWO Act State Development Public Works Organisation Act 1971

QWC Queensland Water Commission

Surat Cumulative Management Area SCMA

CSG Coal Seam Gas

ECPFDP Environmental Constraints Planning and Field Development Protocol

1.2.2. Document references

Table 2: Associated Document References

Document Number	Title
Q-LNG01-15-EA-0061	Remediation Rehabilitation and Recovery Monitoring Plan
Q-LNG01-15-EA-0057	Australia Pacific LNG Gas Field Development Regional Spring Survey and Regional Groundwater Model
Q-LNG01-95-MP-0147	Australia Pacific LNG Stage 1 CSG Water Monitoring Management Plan
Q-LNG01-01-MP-0018	Australia Pacific LNG Subsidence, Aquitard Integrity and Aquifer Interconnectivity Project Plan
Q-1805-15-MP-0086	Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Program
Q-LNG01-15-MP-0113	Australia Pacific LNG Threatened Fauna Management Plan Gas Fields
Q-LNG01-15-MP-0108	Australia Pacific LNG Threatened Flora Management Plan Gas Fields
Q-LNG01-15-MP-0109	Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Constraints Planning and Field Development Protocol

2. Annual Environmental Return

Condition 112 requires that:

The proponent must produce an Annual Environmental Return which:

- a. addresses compliance with these conditions;
- b. records any unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES, mitigation measures applied to avoid adverse impacts on MNES; and any rehabilitation work undertaken in connection with any unavoidable adverse impact on MNES;
- c. identifies all non-compliance with these conditions; and
- d. identifies any amendments needed to plans to achieve compliance with these conditions.

This report satisfies condition 112.

2.1. Compliance

Appendix 1 identifies compliance with the conditions of EPBC Approval 2009/4974.

2.2. Matters of National Environmental Significance

During the reporting period of 21 February 2011 to 21 February 2012, a total of 721.5 m² of Brigalow community in the Condabri gasfield has been cleared. This area of Brigalow does not exceed the 94.61 ha of Brigalow permitted to be cleared for the Project. Current operations on the project site have compiled with conditions relating to MNES.

2.3. Non-Compliances

No material environmental non-compliances have occurred on the project site during the reporting period of 21 February 2011 to 21 February 2012.

It is acknowledged that condition 88 states:

"Within 20 business days of the commencement of the action, the proponent must advise the Department in writing of the actual date of commencement."

The Department was notified within 34 days of commencement. When this issue was identified, DSEWPaC was notified immediately. No further action was required by Australia Pacific LNG in relation to this matter.

2.4. Amendments to Plans

No amendments to plans have occurred during the reporting period of February 2011 and 21 February 2012.

2.5. Variations to the Approval

DSEWPaC has been informed by the Queensland Water Commission (QWC) of a declaration under the Queensland *Water Act 2000* of the Surat Cumulative Management Area (SCMA). Work for the modelling of groundwater will be undertaken at a regional scale.

QWC has advised DSEWPaC that Australia Pacific LNG and other CSG proponents are cooperating in the development of a ground water model and the contribution that Australia Pacific LNG may commit may satisfy the requirement for Conditions 62 and 63. With the corresponding letter Condition 64 is now compliant.

QWC will develop a Spring Impact Management Strategy required under the Queensland *Water Act 2000* for springs within the SCMA. DSEWPaC has acknowledged that the Spring Impact Management Strategy may satisfy the requirements of Condition 70.

3. Reconciliation of Disturbance Limits

Condition 25 applies to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project site as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning.

Limited activity has occurred on land that contains MNES during the reporting period of 21 February 2011 to 21 February 2012. As mentioned above, some disturbance has occurred, but this disturbance has not exceed the limit imposed on the Project.

EPBC 2009/4974 Conditions - Gas Fields			
Condition Number	Condition	Status	Compliance
Project Areas			
	The project area is the area substantially depicted in the map at Figure 1, within the Walloons gas fields and with a maximum gas field development area of 572,700 ha, including the		
	interproject area is use area substantiany depicted in the major at righter 1, within the valuous gas netus and with a maximum gas netu development area of 372,700 ma, including the following petroleum tenures (as they are at the date of the decision to which these conditions are attached): • Authority to prospects (ATP) 606P Combabula, 663P Gilbert Gully, 692P Kainama North, 972P Ramward, 973P Carinya;		
	Petroleum leases (PL) 209 Woleebee, 215 Orana, 225 Kainama, 226 Talinga (excluding the approved 90TJ/d); Petroleum lease applications (PLA) 216 Dalwogan, 225 Kainama, 265 Condabri Central, 266 Condabri South, 267 Condabri North, 272 Orana North, 289 Kainama North;	Compliant	Project area is in accordance with condition.
	* Petrolecum lease applications (PCV) 210 Damwigan, 225 Maintaina, 205 United Central, 200 United Structure, 207 United North, 272 United North, 272 United North, 265 Maintaina North, 267 United North, 272 United North, 267 Unit		
Infrastructure Limits 2	Impacts must be limited to a maximum of 10,000 production wells and impacts related to associated gas field development.	Noted	A periodic review is to be undertaken during the course of the project.
Constraints Planning and Field Develop	nent Protocol	ı	
Protocol for Constraints Planning and Field Development			
3	Before the commencement of gas field development, the proponent must develop a Constraints Planning and Field Development Protocol (the Protocol).	Compliant	Letter of approval for Environmental Constraints Planning and Field Developmer Protocol received from DSEWPaC via letter dated 1 September 2011.
4	The Protocol must apply for the life of the project and include the principles of:		
	an endoction must apply for the me on the project and include the principles of a avoiding direct and indirect adverse impacts on MNES; b. mitigating and managing direct and indirect impacts to minimise cumulative adverse impacts on matters of national environmental significance (MNES);	Compliant	Letter of approval for Environmental Constraints Planning and Field Developmer Protocol received from DSEWPaC via letter dated 1 September 2011.
	b. Imagening and managing direct and managed to minimize communities average impacts or material or managed in received in managed and managed in managed		Total teceived from DoEWi ac via letter dated 1 deptember 2011.
5			
	The Protocol must: a. classify the following as being within the proponent's sensitivity categories 1 to 4 (or should the proponent's classification be revised, equivalent high environmental constraints		
	class): 1. all listed threatened ecological communities; ii. all listed flora species; and		
	ii. all isseu fure species, allu iii. those listed threatened and migratory fauna species habitats as identified in management plans required under these conditions, which where relevant may be described in terms o specific niche habitat types;		
	b. include constraints mapping for sensitivity category 1-7 as described in the proponent's Environmental Impact Statement c. require pre-clearance site assessments of sensitivity category 1-4 by an approved suitably qualified ecologist		
	d. require pre-clearance site assessments of sensitivity category 5-7 by a suitably qualified environmental officer e. for any MNES identified following an assessment under 5d, require review of those pre-clearance site assessments by a suitably qualified ecologist		
	f. update constraints mapping with results of any pre-clearance site assessments which confirm presence of MNES g. require the documentation of all planning decisions and pre-clearance site assessments and field ecological surveys in proposed gas fields development areas where sensitivity		
	category 1-4 is mapped, likely or found h. implement species management plans (as required in condition 7)		
	I. calculate disturbance as required in condition 13 j. take into account all current survey data and available information and maps of all MNES relevant to the project area as described within environmental sensitivity category 1-4;		
	k. require the pre-clearance site assessments and field ecological surveys to identify and assess options relating to development impacts on MNES and provide recommendations to inform the development of the project area;		
	Note: The proponent's approach to sensitivity mapping relates to impact avoidance and mitigation as described in volume 2, chapter 23 of the proponent's Environmental Impact Statement (publicly released 20 march 2010). The indicative sensitivity categories described in the EIS are: (Category 1: Extremely sensitive: Siting of infrastructure within these areas will be avoided		
	Category 2: Extremely sensitive: Siting of Infrastructure within these areas will be avoided Category 2: Highly Sensitive: Infrastructure will only be located within or in proximity to existing cleared and disturbed areas to reduce fragmentation; Limited clearing (if necessary for incremental expansion of existing disturbance) for construction to be rehabilitated prior to operation.		
	Jor incremental expansion of existing disturbance for construction to be renominated prior to operation. Category 3: Sensitive: Clearing only for linear infrastructure and well leases. Non-linear infrastructure to be located within or in proximity to existing cleared and disturbed areas. Disturbed areas not required for ongoing operation to be rehabilitated prior to operation.	Compliant	Letter of approval for Environmental Constraints Planning and Field Developme Protocol received from DSEWPaC via letter dated 1 September 2011.
	Distance or the form the properties of the properties of the properties. Category 4: Newton: Clearing for intern and non-linear infrastructure is to minimise edge effects where possible. Category 5: Robust: Clearing for infrastructure, although hollow-bearing trees and habitat connectivity, particularly along watercourses, to be retained.		
	Contegory 5 and College States and Contegory C		
	When siting exploration and production wells: 1) avoid development in sensitivity category 1 unless authorised in writing by the Department;		
	ii) avoid development in sensitivity category 2-4, unless the location within any of these sensitivity categories is justified given other constraints and the impact on any MNES will be minimal, short term and recoverable;		
	iii) where development cannot avoid areas of MNES within sensitivity category 2-4, preferentially avoid native vegetation that constitutes a listed ecological community and/or may provide habitat for listed species, and site the wells in proximity to cleared areas, or in areas of lower ecological condition such as previously disturbed or degraded areas; and		
	iv) where ii-iii above cannot practicably be achieved, the proponent will site infrastructure that takes into account the written ecological advice of an approved ecologist. Note: Directional drilling and multiple drill holes from one well pad are options to avoid well site and related infrastructure disturbance to sensitivity category 1-4.		
	When siting non-linear infrastructure: I) avoid development in sensitivity category 1 unless authorised in writing by the Department;		
	ii) avoid development in sensitivity category 2-4, unless the location within any of these sensitivity categories is justified given other constraints and the impact on any MNES will be minimal, short term and recoverable;		
	iii) where development cannot avoid areas of MNES within sensitivity category 2-4, preferentially avoid native vegetation that constitutes a listed ecological community and/or may provide habitat for listed species, and site infrastructure in or approximate to cleared areas, or areas of lower ecological conditions curb as previously disturbed or degraded areas; and individual in the processor of the provided areas and in the processor of the processor of the provided areas and in the processor of the processor		
	iv where i-iii above cannot practicably be achieved, the proponent will site infrastructure that takes into account the written ecological advice of an approved ecologist When siting linear infrastructure: avoid development in sensitivity category 1 unless authorised in writing by the Department;		
	in avoid development in sensitivity category 2-4, unless their location within these sensitivity categories is justified given other constraints and the impact on any MNES will be implicable.		
	illi) where development cannot avoid areas of MNES within sensitivity category 2-4, preferentially avoid native vegetation that constitutes a listed ecological community and/or may provide habitat for listed species, and site infrastructure in or approximate to cleared areas, or areas of lower ecological condition such as previously disturbed or degraded areas, and		
	iv) where ii-iii above cannot practicably be achieved, the proponent will site infrastructure that takes into account the written ecological advice of an approved ecologist Note: Justification is reportable in accordance with condition 13 o) viii). The management plan requirements under condition 8 h) may also indicate that a species or its habitat can co-		
	exist with specific types of gas field infrastructure and operations. m. require the proponent to plan for and decide the extent that proposed linear infrastructure may have adverse impacts on MNES in accordance with the following:		
	i. all linear disturbance within environmental sensitivity category 1-4 for MNES and the impact risk zone must be: L limited to 12 metres in width for a single flow line;		
	II. limited to 18 metres in width for trenches with one water gathering line and one parallel gas gathering line; III. limited to 25 metres in width for multiple trenches where there are three parallel gas or water gathering lines or a single large diameter water pipeline (500mm or above); IV. limited		
	to 30 metres in width for high pressure gas pipeline less than 750mm diameter V. limited to 40 metres in width for high pressure gas pipeline equal to or greater than 750mm diameter		
	VI. limited to an additional 7 metres for each additional trench for water or gas lines. VII. limited to an additional 10 metres for each additional high pressure pipeline or large diameter water pipeline (500mm or above)		
	VIII. Immited to disturbance within identified infrastructure corridors K. where feasible, trenches, pipelines for associated water and other transmissions lines must be co-located to reduce total disturbance on MNES K. co-location will not be implemented where an assessment has determined that it is likely to increase impacts on MNES		
	A. Co-occation will not be implemented where an assessment has determined that it is likely to increase impacts on mines Note 1: These widths include provision for a utility corridor and access track. ii. In limited circumstances only (eg. river crossings, where there are abnormal access constraints into a gas processing facility and when within close proximity to other proponent's		
	in in minet circumstances only reg, river costsings, where there are automate access consulants must agap processing acting and where wind mose probability of our proportions. It is made to the proportion of th		
	any disturbance. Note: any disturbance referred to in this condition would be subtracted from the disturbance limits specified elsewhere in these conditions		
	n. support bioregional corridors for listed threatened species and migratory species, and connectivity for listed threatened ecological communities; o. ensure site assessments and field ecological surveys:		
	i. are undertaken in accordance with the Department's survey guidelines in effect at the time of the survey. This information can be obtained from http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/guidelines-policies.html#threatened;		
	ii. take into account and reference previous ecological surveys undertaken in the area and relevant new information on likely presence or absence of MNES; iii. are undertaken by a suitably qualified ecologist approved by the Department for sensitivity categories 1-4;		
	iv. are undertaken by a suitably qualified environmental officer for sensitivity categories 5-7; v. document the survey methodology, results and significant findings in relation to MNES.		
	vi. apply best practice site assessment and ecological survey methods appropriate for each listed threatened species, migratory species, their habitat and listed ecological communities. Note: Best practice includes applying the optimum timing and frequency of site assessments and surveys to determine presence of listed threatened species or migratory species or	1	
	their habitot, or a listed threatened ecological community. vii. apply the mapping of environmental constraints class sensitivity category 1-4; the infrastructure location requirements; minimum no impact zones; impact risk zones; and the width	1	
	requirements for linear infrastructure corridors described in (m); wiit. reports are published by the proponent on the internet 20 business days before clearance of native vegetation in an infrastructure impact area and provided to the Department on request;		
	p. require species and ecological community management plans which include: i. relevant avoidance and mitigation measures to be applied;		
	ii. measures for protecting each listed threatened species and migratory species and their habitat, and each listed threatened ecological community not previously assessed by the proponent, should one or more be found in the project area at any time over the life of the project. Any such management plans must be developed in a timeframe to be approved by		
	the Department. Notification of additional MNES found must be provided to the Department in writing within 10 business days. Measures must include the development of a management plan consistent with requirements under condition 8;		
	q. ensure constraints planning and field development decisions are made in accordance with the Protocol (including any relevant species and ecological community management plans before final selection of specific sites for gas field development within the project area.		
0	The Protocol must ensure relevant information on MNES is available and used by the proponent to support field development and management decisions throughout the life of the project.	Compliant	Letter of approval for Environmental Constraints Planning and Field Developme Protocol received from DSEWPAC via letter dated 1 September 2011.
Management plans for listed species and			
ecological communities 7	Before commencement of each major stage of gas field development the proponent must develop management plans for that area, which include terrestrial ecology habitat		
	management guidelines, addressing each listed species and listed ecological community that, as indicated through assessment or more recent information, may be potentially impacted by that stage of gas field development within the project area, or external to the project area. The management plans must address as a minimum, the ecological communities and species and their habitat as specified in Tables 1.2. and 3 of these conditions:		DSEWPaC approved qualified ecologists on 11 May 2011 and 31 March 2011 .
	Note 1: The proponent may develop management plans to align with the requirements of the Queensland Government where there are species and ecological communities covered	Compliant	Managament Plans for Phase 1 were approved by DSEWPaC on 1 Sepetember 2011.
	by both Queensland requirements and the requirements of this approval. Note 2: Major stages of development are to be notified under condition 90. Table 1 - refer to source document SD-00003 page 7, 8 and 9 for Table 1. (See Table 1 EPBC 2009/4974)		
8	<u> </u>		
	The management plans required under condition 7 must be developed by a qualified ecologist approved in writing by the Department and as a minimum address the following as is relevant to each NNES:		
	leevalin to each wines. a. current legal status (under EPBC Act); b. known distribution:		
	Lo. Aniown unstructuring. C. known species' populations and their relationships within the region; d. extent of ecological community fragmentation within the region and if appropriate minimum patch size for that community;		
	e. to support field identification and ecological surveys, description of the relevant characteristics of the ecological community; f. species' biology, reproduction and description of general habitat;		
	g. to support field identification and ecological surveys, description of the species' habitat, which may be described in terms of essential habitat and microhabitat, associations with geology, soils, landscape features, associations with other native fauna and/or flora or ecological communities, and specific niche habitat descriptions;		
	Note: Constraints mapping may be limited by available data for many species and may therefore be inadequate to map habitat requirements for planning and management purposes, or to indicate presence without on ground assessment. Condition 8 g) requires the essential components of a species' habitat to be described where relevant to support		
	field identification and environmental constraints decision making. This should include essential habitat components for widely distributed species present in low numbers and for other species likely to be present but not often observed.		
	h. threats to MNES relating to the development and management of land within the gas fields including from the development, operation and decommissioning of infrastructure within the gas fields including from the development or outside the gas field development area; which is no notified the gas field development area;		Management Plan are prepared by DSEWPaC approved ecologists as required
	Note: This part of a management plan may also indicate that a species or its habitat can co-exist with specific types of gas field operations. I. relevant management practices and methods to minimise impact and recover from impact that should include: It is the habitative improfessor of the displayer and methods and method in the property of th		this condition.
	i. site rehabilitation timeframes, standards and methods; iii. use of sequential clearing to direct fauna away from an impact zone; iii. re-establishment of native veetation in linear infrastructure corridors:		
	iii. re-establishment of native vegetation in linear intrastructure corridors; iv. welfare and safe handling of fauna specimens requiring relocation from impact sites;		
	Iv. welrare and sare nanoung or rauna specimens requiring relocation from impact sites; v. handling practices for flora specimens; vi. translocation practices and monitoring for translocation success;		
	vi. translocation practices and monitoring for translocation success; viii. monitoring methods including for rehabilitation success and recovery; ij. surface and ground water quality and quantity requirements, including relevant downstream environmental quality parameters;		
	i, surrace and ground water quainty and quantity requirements, including relevant downstream environmental quainty parameters; k. reference relevant conservation advice, recovery plans, or other policies, practices, standards or guidelines relevant to MNES published or approved from time to time by the Department.		
	Note 1: The management plans must include sufficient detail to inform field development decisions, ongoing management and decommissioning, and management external to the project area to minimise impacts on MNES through the life of the project.		
	Note 2: To the extent that the requirements of condition 8 are satisfied for each species, a single plan may be prepared to address a group of species which have similar ecological characteristics and habitat needs. Other conditions also require species or ecological community management plans to be developed in certain circumstances in accordance with		
	condition 8.		
ا ا	Each species and ecological community management plan must be submitted for the approval of the Minister. Commencement of each major stage of gas field development within the project area must not occur without written approval of a plan for addressing each listed species and ecological community within the proposed area of development. The proponent may undertable architects that are pricingly not promound that are associated with mobilitation of plants and enuiroment materials, may have proposed to the start of	Compliant	Each species and ecological community management plan is submitted to the
	may undertake activities that are critical to commencement that are associated with mobilisation of plant and equipment, materials, machinery and personnel prior to the start of development only if such activities will have no adverse impact on MMES, and only if the proponent has notified the Department in writing before an activity is undertaken. Approved species and ecological community management plans must be implemented.	- Joniphant	Minister for approval as required and are published on the APLNG website.
0	оросто мах этомуний тания нападентен, раза них од шрененцев.		

10	The proponent must establish a program for routine review of the species and ecological community management plans to be undertaken by a qualified ecologist approved by the		The Species Management Plans are due for their first review 12 months after the commencement of works in the Gas Fields - 19th October 2012. A suitably
	Department (with other experts as appropriate) to take into account any new information available to the proponent, including any information and advice provided by Commonwealth or Queensland Government agencies, or available from other CSG proponents.	Noted	qualified ecologist will provide this third party review to ensure that all species are still relevant / identified in the plans. Any updates that are required will be incorporated in a new revision of the plans.
11	The Minister may require, by request in writing, the periodic review of the species and ecological community management plans, either by the Department; or alternatively by an independent qualified ecologist, or other experts, approved by the Department independent review of plans will be at the financial expense of the proponent. Once independently reviewed, plans must be submitted for written approval by the Department.	Noted Compliant	No request yet from DSEWPaC. Noted.
Record of Impacts	Approved plans must be implemented.	Compilant	NUCEU.
13	If an impact occurs (which may include a presumed impact where the species is presumed to be present) to a MNES during gas field development, operation, or decommissioning the proponent must:		
	a. record the impact by reference to: I. the location, specific site and type of infrastructure or activity; ii. each MNES subject to disturbance;		Compliant as the process for documenting impact is included in ECPFDP, which has been approved by DSEWPaC (Ref:Q-LNG01-15-EA-0061). Quarterly review against this condition is proposed to be undertaken to ensure all impacts are
	iii. the related site assessment or fled ecological survey documentation and recommendations, or the decision that the particular MNES was presumed to be present; iv. the disturbance limit set under condition 25; v. the total area of actual disturbance;	Compliant	recorded. The process for recording and reporting disturbance is being developed Rehabilitation requirements for ant disturbance impacts is addressed in the
	vi. the remaining disturbance limit for each affected MNES; vii. the reasons for the decision including justification for the action taken, description of the efforts taken to avoid impact, and explanation why other constraints might justify the impact on MNES;		Remediation Rehabilitation Recovery and Monitoring Plan (RRRMP). For the reporting period, 721.5n² of Brigalow has been cleared in the Condabri
	viii. actions and commitments by the proponent to remediate, rehabilitate, or make good any unauthorised disturbance; and Note: This condition applies to any adverse impact on MNES, whether or not a disturbance limit has been set, and whether or not the impact has been decided by the proponent under the Protocol based on other physical constraints.		area of the project. which is below the 94.61ha limit imposed.
Site Remediation, Rehabilitation and Recovery Plan	h, record the information to a standard which can be independently audited		
14			
	Where a direct or indirect impact has occurred to MNES (which may include a presumed impact where the species is presumed to be present) the proponent must under the Protocol apply remediation, rehabilitation and recovery measures appropriate for each MNES to restore connectivity or rehabilitate disturbed areas to pre-clearance quality or better, and to	Compliant	The remediation and management measures are outlined in the RRRMP, which has been approved by DSEWPaC For the reporting period, 721.5m2 of Brigalow
	minimise cumulative impacts throughout the life of the project. Note: Instances where presumed to be present has been determined are identified in volumes 2 and 3 of the EIS		has been cleared in the Condabri area of the project. which is below the 94.61h limit imposed.
15			
	Before commencement of gas field development the proponent must develop a Remediation, Renovery and Monitoring Plan. The Plan must: a. include site remediation measures including timeframes and standards for preventing erosion and stabilising disturbed soil in impact areas; b. include measures to support recovery of listed species' habitat and recovery of listed ecological communities affected by gas field development;		
	c. include responses to threats to MMES from the proponent's operational activities and land management activities including the disposal and use of associated water, damage by livestock, and impacts from feral animals and weeds; d. provide for fire prevention and management regimes during construction, operation, and decommissioning to protect MNES;	Compliant	Refer to comments below.
	e. Include performance measures and related monitoring to assess site remediation, rehabilitation and recovery; f. provide for reporting on the implementation of the Remediation, Rehabilitation, Recovery and Monitoring Plan including monitoring and performance to a standard which can be independently audited;	Compilant	
	g. reference relevant conservation advice, recovery plans, species management plans, or policies, practices, standards or guidelines endorsed or approved from time to time by the Department. Note: The proponent may develop the plan to satisfy the requirements of both the Queensland Government and these conditions as indicated in condition 100 b).		
16	The Remediation, Rehabilitation, Recovery and Monitoring Plan must be submitted for the approval of the Minister. Commencement of gas field development must not occur without approval of this Plan. The proponent may undertake activities that are critical to commencement that are associated with mobilisation of plant and equipment, materials, machinery	Compliant	The RRRMP (Ref:- Q-LNG01-15-MP-0107) was approved by DSEWPaC on 1
17	and personnel prior to the start of development only if such activities will have no adverse impact on NNES, and only if if the proponent has notified the Department in writing before are activity is undertaken. The approved Remediation, Rehabilitation, Recovery and Monitoring Plan must be implemented. The proponent must establish a program to routinely review the Remediation, Rehabilitation, Recovery and Monitoring Plan by an independent qualified ecologist, or other experts,		September 2011.
	approved by the Department to dake into account any new information available to the proponent, including any information and advice provided by Commonwealth or Queensland Government agencies, or available from other CSG proponents.	Compliant	An initial review is proposed to commence on October 2012.
19	The Minister may require through a request in writing the periodic review of the Remediation, Recovery and Monitoring Plan by the Department, or alternatively by an independent qualified ecologist, or other experts, approved by the Department. Plans must be approved by the Department in writing, and proved by the Department in writing, and proved by the Department of the Department in writing.	Noted	No request received to date
Approval and Review of Protocol	Independent review of plans will be at the financial expense of the proponent. Once independently reviewed, plans must be submitted for written approval by the Department. Approved plans must be implemented.	Noted	
20	The Protocol must be submitted for the approval of the Minister. Commencement of gas field development must not occur without written approval of the Protocol. The approved	Compliant	Letter of approval for ECPFDP was received from DSEWPaC via correspondence
	Protocol must be implemented.	Compliant	dated 1 September 2011
21	The Protocol and related plans must be reviewed and updated by the proponent to take into account the findings of Cumulative Impact Assessment Reports required by the Queensland Government before each major stage of the proponent's gas field development; or following a written request from the Department. Reviewed and updated Protocols and		
	plans must be submitted for the Minister's written approval. Once approved, updated Protocols and plans must be implemented.		A review of the protocol is proposed to commence in June 2012.
	Group (LIMIG). Note 2: The review required following completion of the Cumulative Impact Assessment Report required by the Queensland Government may be done after approval of the Protocol. The Department may seek review of the Protocol to align with Queensland Government requirements to support efficiency and avoid duplication.		
22	The proponent's review of the Protocol must take into account all relevant studies, policies, standards, guidelines and advice relating to CSG activity published or provided to the proponent by the Commonwealth or Queensland governments, or published or provided by other proponents undertaking similar activities, or published or provided by other parties,	Noted	As per condition 21 above.
23	including any findings of an audit against conditions, or plans or other documentation required under the conditions of this approval. The Department may require, by a request in writing, that the Protocol and related plans be revised or amended before approval. Any such request must be acted on within the time	Noted	No request received to date
24	frame specified.		
	The approved Protocol must be incorporated into the proponent's management procedures, operational plans and other relevant documentation and kept current for the like of the	Compliant	The approved protocol has been and will continued to be incorportated into any
Distribution Limits	The approved Protocol must be incorporated into the proponent's management procedures, operational plans and other relevant documentation and kept current for the like of the project.	Compliant	
Distribution Limits 25	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development,	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar
Distribution Limits 25	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, (whole of project disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific Nuts Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar
Distribution Limits 25	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 2:34. EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15.	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National
Distribution Limits 25	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. **Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunnall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific. ING - Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note: Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010.	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents preparator the duration of the Project.
Distribution Limits 25	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, (whole of project disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific KING Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - refer to source document 5D-00003 pages 13,14 and 15. *Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat cleutions not the Gas Fields Q-LNGOI-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010.	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National
25	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific ING Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. **Polisturbance limits for Brigalow Sacyl-vost and Valka Sinkis and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LNG - Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields C4-NGO1-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note 1: Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific LNG - Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields C4-NGO1-5-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010; and from listed threatened species profiles available on the Department's website. Note 2: Hobital of Rospecies in Table 3 is fine general context threatened species profiles available in the management plan for each species os required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National
25 26 Offsets	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document S0-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document S0-0003 page 13,14 and 15. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. Table 3 - refer to sour	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepartor the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance.
25 26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 18 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document S0-0003 page 18 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document S0-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. Table 4 - refer to sou	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepartor the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance.
25 26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. **Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakia Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. **Note1: Totale 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, Australia Pacific LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010; ond from listed distractioned species profiles available on the Department's website. **Note2: Habitat for species Totale 3 will be described in the management plan for each species as required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicative only. The Gasfield activities must not have a significant impact on the Narran Lakes Wetlands. Within 9 months of the commencement of the action the proponent must prepare an Offset Plan to provide an offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area to be secured must be an area of private land which includes at least: a. 73.44 ha of potential Paradelian area to be secured m	Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents preparl for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance.
25 26 Offsets	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 13,14 and 15. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. Table 3 - refer to sourc	Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance.
25 26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. **Disturbance limits for Bigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LNG — Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-PP-0014 of 16 November 2010. **Note1: Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criterio assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, Australia Pacific LNG — Fauna habitat Colculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-PR-0014 of 16 November 2010. **Note1: Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criterio assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, Australia Pacific LNG — Fauna habitat Colculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-PR-0014 of 16 November 2010; and from listed chreatened species profiles avoilable on the Department's website. **Note2: Habitat for species in Table 3 will be described in the management plan for each species as required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicative only. **The Gasfield activities must not have a significant impact on the Narran Lakes Wetlands.** **Within 9	Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date.
25 26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ('whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - sign to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23:4 EPPC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. **Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunnall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific. LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note: 1: Toble 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific. LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010; and from listed threatened species profiles avoidable on the Department's website. Note 2: Habitat for species in Table 3 will be described in the management plan for each species as required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicative only. The Gasfield activities must not have a significant impact on the Narran Lakes Wetlands. Within 9 months of the commencement of the action the proponent must prepare an Offset Plan to provide an offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset	Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. **Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. **Note1: Totale 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, Australia Pacific LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. **Note2: Totale 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, Australia Pacific LNG – Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010; and from listed distractions are provided in Toble 3 will be described in the management plan for each species are required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicative only. The Gasfield activities must not have a significant impact on the Narran Lakes Wetlands. Within 9 months of the commencement of the action the proponent must prepare an Of	Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepar for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, (whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBG Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APPLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - right rosource document SD-00003 pages 13,14 and 15. *Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LNG - Failan habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields, CHNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note: 1-Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Motters of Notional Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific LNG - Failan ababitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note: 1-Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Motters of Notional Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australian Pacific LNG - Failan ababitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010; and from listed threatened species profiles available on the Department's website. Note: 2: Hobbits of paseics in Table 3 will be described in the management plan for each species as required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicative only. The Gasfield activities must not have a	Compliant Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition.
25 26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to It, (whole of project disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - right cosource document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. **Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat circulations for the Gas Fields Q-NGO1-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Notes: Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chopter 23: Motters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria consessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, Justinal Profile (November 2010). Notes: Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chopter 23: Motters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria consessment of the APLNG EIS of March 2010, and from listed thirrectned species profiles ovalidable on the Department's website. Notes: Table 3 in Section 10 in Section	Compliant Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared, and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, (whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific LNG Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBG Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APPLNG EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - right rosource document SD-00003 pages 13,14 and 15. *Disturbance limits for Brigalow Scaly-foot and Yakka Skink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LNG - Failan habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields, CHNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note: 1-Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Motters of Notional Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific LNG - Failan ababitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010. Note: 1-Table 3 is derived from Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Motters of Notional Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australian Pacific LNG - Failan ababitat Calculations for the Gas Fields Q-LNG01-15-RP-0014 of 16 November 2010; and from listed threatened species profiles available on the Department's website. Note: 2: Hobbits of paseics in Table 3 will be described in the management plan for each species as required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicative only. The Gasfield activities must not have a	Compliant Compliant Compliant	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoisible adverse impacts on MMES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, propretion and decommissioning within the project area silustrated in Attachment 1, and external to 1t, (whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - devender from the Australia Pacific INE Grintomental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters on National Environmental Significance including. Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APING EIS of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. **Eisturbance limits for dirigions occurred and viaka Sainki and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific IXO: Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields. Chapter 23: Natiens of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria ossessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific IXO: Fauna habitat Colculations for the Gas Fields. Chapter 23: Snatiens of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria ossessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific IXO: Fauna habitat Colculations for the Gas Fields Chapter 21: Snatiens of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria ossessment of the APLNC EIS of March 2010; Australia Pacific IXO: Fauna habitat Colculations for the Gas Fields Chapter 21: Snatient of National Environmental Significant impact on the National Pacific IXO: Fauna habitat Colculations for Snatient	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MMES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, proporation and discommissioning within the project area shall exceed the project of the project disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 16 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - Activated from the hastisal Pacific LNE Enricommental Offset Strategy of 18 November 2010; Volume 2. Gas Fields, Chapter 25 Matters of National Enricommental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria assessment of the APIANG ES of March 2010; and from listed ecological community profiles available on the Department's website. **Table 3 - refer to source document 50-0003 page 13,14 and 15.** **Disturbance limits for Briggiow Scale 26: 68-1046; Other 36: 78-0014 of 18 November 2010. **Disturbance limits for Briggiow Scale 26: 68-1046; Other 27: 88-0014 of 18 November 2010. **Note: Table 3 is develed from Volume: 26: 68-1046; Other 27: 88-0014 of 18 November 2010. **Note: Table 3 is develed from Volume: 26: 68-1046; Other 27: 88-0014 of 18 November 2010. **Note: Table 3 is develed from Volume: 26: 68-1046; Other 27: 88-0014 of 18 November 2010. **Note: Table 3 is develed from Volume: 26: 68-1046; Other 27: 88-0014 of 18 November 2010; ond from listed timesterned species profiles wonlible to the Department's website. **Note: 27: Note: 18-014-014-014-014-014-014-014-014-014-014	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepare for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefran Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoisible adverse impacts on MMSS within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to 1t, (whole of project' disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document SD-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document SD-0003 page 14 for Table 2. Note: Table 2 - refer to source document SD-0003 page 13,14 and 15. To be partment's website. Table 3 - refer to source document SD-0003 pages 13,14 and 15. To biturbance limits for dirigiolov Sciency and Yaka Sakink and Dunmall's Snake potential habitat are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific LIKG - Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields, Chapter 22. Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria ossessment of the APLNG ES of March 2010, Australia Pacific LIKG - Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields, Chapter 22. Matters of National Environmental Significance including Section 23.4 EPBC Act significant impact criteria ossessment of the APLNG ES of March 2010, Australia Pacific LIKG - Fauna habitat Calculations for the Gas Fields, Developed 2010, and from Insterd trivereleters deptice operation on the Department's website. Next 2 - Irobitatify for species in Table 3 will be discribed in the monogeneen plan for each species as required under condition 8. The habitat described in Table 3 is for general context and indicate each profise orability for the Department's website. Within 9 months of the commencement of the action the proponent must prepare an Offset Plan to provide an offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area to be secured must be an area of private land which includes are least: a. 73.44 ha of potential Farins adumnal (Dunnell's Snake) habita	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepare for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefran Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and excommissioning within the project area all sustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source document 50-0003 pops 13, 4 for Table 2. (In the Project of Table 2) and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and development 50-0003 pops 13, 4 for Table 2. (In the Project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and the project area as a result of exploration and all associated activities are as a result of exploration and all associated activities are as a result of exploration and all associated activities for the project area. The area of the project area and a result of exploration and and a subject of the project area. The area of the project area and a result of exploration and and a subject of the project area. The offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. The offset area for the approved disturbance limits relating to MNES within the project area. Th	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepare for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefrant Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorized unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area lilustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source documents 50-0003 page 13,14 on Fage for Table 2. When the Table 1 is development of the PAPAE GS of March 2010, Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Ottes Strategy of 16 November 2010, Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Ottes Strategy of 16 November 2010, Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Volume 2: Gas Fields, Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Studies are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific (US — Fauna habitat Cacidations for the Gas Fields Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Studies are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific (US — Fauna habitat cacidations for the Gas Fields Chapter 23: Matters of National Environmental Studies are derived as per the fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific (US — Fauna habitat verification of National Environmental Studies (US — Fauna habitat reduction methodology applied in Australia Pacific (US — Fauna habitat verification of National Environmental Studies (US — Fauna habitat reduction in Reduction of National Environmental Studies (US — Fauna habitat verification of National Environmental Studies (US — Fauna habitat verification of National Environmental Studies (US — Fauna habitat Cacidation of National Pacific (US — Fauna habitat Cacidation of National Pacific (US — Fauna habitat Cacidation of National Pacific (US — Fauna habitat Cacidation	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepared for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefrant Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNIS within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and excommissioning within the project area liburated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Table 2 - refer to source documents 50 0003 page 13,14 or for fabre 2. More Table 2 a development 50 0003 page 13,14 or for fabre 2. More Table 2 a development 50 0003 page 13,14 or for fabre 2. More Table 2 a development 50 0003 page 13,14 or for fabre 2. More 1. More 1	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MMIS within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area libitrated in Attachment 1, and external to 1, [whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Sacific USG immormental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010, Volume 2: Gas Freibs, Chapter 23. Matters of National Environmental Offset Strategy of 16 November 2010, volume 2: Gas Freibs, Chapter 23. Matters of National Environmental Suggificance including section 2.3 EVER LOSS (as implicated in the Chapter 23. Matter 23. Matter 24. Matt	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorized unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area Blustrated in Attachment 1, and external to 1, [whole of project" disturbance limits] and all associated activities. Note: Table 3 is denied from the Australia Sacidic USE (informational Offset Strategy of 18 November 2010; Volume 2: Gas Freids, Chapter 23. Matters of National Environment of Sacidic Pacific Chapter 23. Matters of National Environment of Pacific Chapter 23. Matter 23. Matter 23. Matter 24. Mat	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepare for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefran Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management 33	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorised unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area illustrated in Attachment 1, and external to it, ("whole of project" disturbance limits) and all associated activities. Note: Table 2 is derived from the Australia Pacific IXIG formomental offset Strategy of 18 November 2010, valuine 2 Gas Falsis, Cupter 22 Matters of Stational Invoronmental Compartment's velotitie. Pacific 12-6 is a register to source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-7 is a register of the Compartment's velotitie. Pacific 13-6 is a register to source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a register to source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a development of the PACH Compartment's velotitie. Pacific 13-6 is a source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source decument 50 -00003 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the PACH Compartment 50 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the PACH Compartment 50 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the PACH Compartment 50 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the PACH Compartment 50 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the PACH Compartment 50 pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the pages 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and 12. Pacific 13-6 is a source of the page 11.14 and	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted Noted Noted	management procedures, operational plan and other relevant documents prepart for the duration of the Project. Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management 33 Rehabilitation Area Offset – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) graph to authorized unavoidable adverse impacts on MNES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, may be a second to the project of the project	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted Noted	Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013. This condition is not triggered until an offset area is secured.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management 33	The case of the commencement of the action the proposet area of a result of explorations. Short-proposet on MIRES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, or provided and exploration of the property and the property of distributions limits and all associated extensions. Note: Table 2: I defined and control of the property of the property of the property of distributions limits and all associated extensions. Note: Table 2: I defined from the Autoriania Pacific USG Internomental Offices Strategy of 16 November 2010, violence 2 class Feder, Chipper 22: Matter of National Environmental Offices Strategy of 16 November 2010, violence 2 class Feder, Chipper 22: Matter of National Environmental Offices Strategy of 16 November 2010, or provided a result of the Pacific Chipper 2010, and the property of the Strategy of 16 November 2010. When the Pacific Chipper 2010, and the Pac	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted Noted	Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013. This condition is not triggered until an offset area is secured.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management 33 Rehabilitation Area Offset – gas fields	The maximum disturtance limits in Table 2 (below) apply to authorized unavoidable where impacts on MMS withis the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area flustrated in Attochment J., and other and of project disturtance limits and all associated excitations. The Attochment J. and other and the Attochment J. and the Attochm	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted Noted Noted	Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013. This condition is not triggered until an offset area is secured.
25 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management 33 Rehabilitation Area Offset – gas fields	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (below) yoph to substirized analysis and supports on MMES within the project area as a remail of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area bitarized in Attachment 3, and external to 8, (whole of project disturbance limits) and all associated scriptures, and the project area with a remail of project disturbance limits and all associated scriptures, and the project area with a remail of project disturbance limits and all associated scriptures, and the project area with a remail of project disturbance limits and all associated scriptures, and the project area with a remail of project disturbance limits and all associated scriptures and all a	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted Noted Noted	Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013. This condition is not triggered until an offset area is secured.
26 Offsets Plan to secure offsets – gas fields 27 28 29 30 31 32 Offset Area Management 33 4 Rehabilitation Area Offset – gas fields 35	The maximum disturbance limits in Table 2 (Dallow) apply to sulforind sun-excluded adverse impacts on MMES within the project area as a result of exploration, development, operation and decommissioning within the project area (Bust and Carlow) and the project area (Bust and Carlow) and decommissioning within the project area (Bust and Carlow) and the project area (Bust and Carlow) and the Carlow) and the project area (Bust and Carlow) and the Carlow) and the Carlow a	Compliant Compliant Compliant Compliant Noted Noted Noted	Limited activity has occurred in relation to land containing Matters of National Environmental Significance. No known impact recorded to date. An Offset Plan has been prepared and submitted within the nominated timefram Document Reference Q-LNG01-15-MP-0086 & APLN-000-EN-V01-D-13726 The Offset Plan responds to the requirements of this condition. The Offset Plan was submitted for approval on the 21 November 2011 and APLNG is awaiting final approval. Condition is not yet triggered. The timeframe for securing offsets for the project will not lapse until 21 February 2013. This condition is not triggered until an offset area is secured.

Rehabilitation Area Plan 8	, within 2 years of the commencement of gas field development the Rehabilitation Area Offset has not been secured, then the proponent must within 30 business days, notify the inthister and provide for the Minister's approval an alternative offset measure. The alternative must provide at least an equivalent environmental outcome to those specified in elation to the Rehabilitation Area Offset. The approved alternative must be secured and implemented in accordance with conditions 35 and 36 in a timeframe specified in writing by ne Minister.	Noted	
ehabilitation Area Plan 8	e Minister.		Condition is not yet triggered.
7			
9			Consideration to rehabilitation has been given and is contained in the APLNG's
5	Vithin 2 years of the commencement of gas field development, the proponent must prepare a Rehabilitation Area Plan for the offset required under condition 35.	Pending	Offset Management Program. An explicit Rehabilitation Area Plan will be prepared once a site is secured.
9	he Rehabilitation Area Plan must provide for commitments and actions to lead to the increase in the spatial extent and improvement in the condition of existing remnants, and for the		
0	stablishment of new self sustaining, functional 'remnant vegetation' communities, consistent with that which existed prior to clearing and with the capacity to provide habitat for the pecies identified in condition 25 as unavoidably impacted by the action.	Noted	The Rehabilitation Area Plan will satisfy this condition when prepared.
	he Rehabilitation Area Plan must include: . details of the area to be rehabilitated including location and maps;		
C	. documentation including mapping of current environmental values relevant to MNES of the area; where revegetation through planting seedlings and/or seeds is intended details of appropriate species and ratios of species relevant to historically occurring listed migratory and restatened species habitals, Brigadov (Accala harpophylla dominant and co-dominant) et collogical community, and Semi-evergreen vine thickets of the Brigadow Belt (North and South)		
ž (nd Nandewar Bioregions ecological community; . the source and provenance of the seed and/or seedlings which will be used;	Noted	The Rehabilitation Area Plan will satisfy this condition when prepared.
f E	measures to address threats to MMES including but not limited to grazing pressure and damage by livestock and adverse impacts from feral animals and weeds; measures to provide fire management regimes appropriate for the MNES; monitoring measures including ecological surveys to measure the establishment and ongoing success of the revegetation based on a comparison with high quality habitat for listed		
P .	ilgratory and threatened species and ecological community reference sites; performance measures and reporting requirements against identified objectives, including trigger levels for corrective actions and the actions to be taken to ensure performance neasures and objectives are met.		
1	Vithin 2 years of the commencement of gas field development the Rehabilitation Area Plan must be submitted for the approval of the Minister. The approved Rehabilitation Area Plan	Noted	Condition is not yet triggered.
r 2	ust be implemented.	Noted	Condition is not yet inggered.
1	o ensure the long term protection of the Rehabilitation Area the proponent must:manage Brigalow and Semi-evergreen Vine Thickets of the Brigalow Belt (North and South) and Nandewar Bioregions components of the Rehabilitation Area to a stage where they		
Y E	neet the respective criteria for 'remnant status' for the Brigalow (Acaria harpophylla dominant and co-dominant) ecological community and 'remnant status' for the Semi-evergreen ine Thickets of the Brigalow Belt (North and South) and Nandewar Bioregions; when areas of revegetation meet criteria applicable at the time for 'remnant vegetation' ensure application is made to have the revegetation areas remapped and reclassified as	Noted	
	emanan vegetation' in accordance with the relevant Queensland legislation. The management measures must continue to be implemented in areas not meeting the criteria for emmant status' until this has been achieved (or until approval to cease the management regime is provided by the Minister in writing); define corrective actions which will be undertaken if performance measures and reporting indicate that successful rehabilitation has not been achieved;		
	identify persons responsible and arrangements for implementing the Rehabilitation Area Plan and for reporting on performance; and notify the Department in writing of the reclassification of areas within the Rehabilitation Area as 'remnant vegetation' within 30 business days of the reclassification occurring.		
	the proponent proposes any action within a proposed offset area, other than actions related to managing that area as an offset property, approval must be obtained, in writing from the Department. In seeking Departmental approval the proponent must provide a detailed assessment of the proposed action including a map identifying where the action is proposed.		
t	o take place and an assessment of all associated adverse impacts on MNES. If the Department agrees to the action within the proposed offset site, the area identified for the action ust be excised from the proposed offset and alternative offsets secured of equal or greater environmental value in relation to the impacted MNES.	Noted	
CSG Water Management			
4	he proponent must: take all reasonable measures to ensure that CSG water, including extracted groundwater, treated or amended CSG water, and any associated waste water, brine crystals and/or plids generated as a result of treating or amending water have no significant impact on any MNES during or beyond the life of the project;	Noted	Appropriate water management process are document in the CSG Water
C	ensure that aromatic hydrocarbons are detailed as part of the toxicity assessment in condition 50f; and if any such impacts arise apply measures identified in the Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and Management Plan, or other requirements under these conditions, to mitigate or lake good such impacts to the satisfaction of the Minister.	11000	Monitoring and Management Plan (Ref:Q-LNG01-95-MP-0147).
SG Water Monitoring and Management			
lydraulic Connection 5	the proponent demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Minister, on the advice of the expert panel, that an aquifer has negligible hydraulic connectivity to other aquifers, then	Noted	
	roundwater drawdown limits and threshold values (for groundwater drawdown and quality) for response measures in these conditions do not apply to that aquifer.	Noted	
2	o avoid doubt, monitoring and risk management requirements in the Stage 1 Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and Management Plan (Stage 1 CSG WMMP) and the Stage 2 Coal earn Gas Water Monitoring and Management Plan (Stage 2 CSG WMMP) (outlined below) will continue to apply to any aquifer which the proponent has demonstrated to the atisfaction of the Minister, on the advice of the expert panel, has negligible hydraulic connectivity to other aquifers.	Compliant	This requirement has been addressed in the Stage 1 CSG Water Monitoring an Management Plan (Ref:Q-LNG01-95-MP-0147).
	the Minister, acting on advice of an expert panel, is satisfied that new evidence indicates a material change in hydraulic connectivity of an aquifer to which condition 45 applies, the linister may notify the proponent, in writing, that condition 45 does not apply to that aquifer.	Noted.	
efault Drawdown			
,	Within 20 business days from the date of the project approval, or such longer period specified by the Minister in writing, the proponent must submit to the satisfaction of the Minister, nodelled groundwater drawdown contour data and contour plots for each potentially impacted aquifer.	Compliant	Data has been provided as required per this condition.
	he Minister, having regard to the minimum drawdown prediction from the proponent's Environmental impact Statement and the information supplied under condition 48, will specifi the proponent, in writing, the default groundwater drawdown limit for each aquifer that will apply until the Minister's approval of the Stage 1 CSG WMMP. The proponent must not		Correspondence received from DSEWPaC has established a 0.2m drawdown
(one proposed, in whiting, the details groundwased utamount milit to each equiter that will apply that the militare 3 approval of the Jage 1.50 Winter. The proposed militare screed the groundwater drawdown limits specified by the Minister.	Compilant	limit.
tage 1 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan			
	within 6 months from the date of the project approval, the proponent must submit for the approval of the Minister a Stage 1 Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and Management Planstage 1 Coal MMMP) which includes at least: **roundwater monitoring and management**		
t t	groundwater drawdown limits for each potentially impacted aquifer; a program and schedule for aquifer connectivity studies and monitoring of relevant aquifers to determine hydraulic connectivity; a program and schedule for field piloting of aquifer reinjection of treated CSG water and other groundwater repressurisation techniques;		
,	. early warning indicators where drawdown thresholds are being approached. ydrawlic Tracturing the estimated number, the spatial distribution and location of boreholes where hydrawlic fracturing may be necessary, annual reviews of the estimate;		
f	the extinated names, in a space distribution and focusion of continuous water injuriation factoring may be included, and their toxicity and ecotoxicity, based on methods utlined in the National Water Quality Management Strategy utlined in the National Water Management Strategy utlined in the National Wa		
ec I	an ongoing water quality and quantity surface water monitoring plan that includes at least: identification of the surface and aquatic systems to be monitored and their environmental values, water quality, and environmental characteristics, and the rationale for selection;		
i	the number and locations of monitoring sites upstream and downstream of proposed discharge of CSG water (whether treated water, amended water or raw water), including test nd reference sites upstream and downstream and before and after any discharge; the frequency of the monitoring and rationale for the frequency;		Stage 1 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan (Ref: Q-LNG01-95-MF
,	c. baseline data for each monitoring site for comparison of monitoring results over the life of the project; the approach to be taken to analyse the results including the methods to determine trends to indicate potential impacts; t. threshold values that protect relevant MNES (such as reporting or control line values for additional investigation, more intensive management action, make good, and cease	Compliant	0147) was submitted as required. A Subsidence, Aquitard Integrity and Aquife Interconnectivity Project Plan (Ref: Q-LNG01-01-MP-0018) has also been prepared and submitted.
2	perations) at which management actions will be initiated to respond to escalating levels of risk and designed to protect water quality and the associated environmental values of urface and aquatic systems; it was treatment and amendment methods and standards;		, ,
N i	iii. water storage locations and volumes including any storage and volumes required to pilot or implement reinjection or other groundwater repressurisation techniques; . water use or disposal options and methods (whether for beneficial use or not) including frequency, volumes, quality and environmental values documented for each receiving		
,	nvironment; brine storage locations and volumes, and brine crystal waste management; . emergency water discharges, their volumes and quality;		
P	ii. references to standards and relevant policies and guidelines; esponse octions . mechanisms to avoid, minimise and manage risk of adverse impacts and response actions and timeframes that can be taken by the proponent if:		
i	threshold values for surface water quality and water environmental values specified in the CSG WMMP are exceeded; there are any unforeseen emergency discharges; and eportring		
1	performance measures, annual reporting to the Department, and publication of reports on the internet. ote: A key objective of the CSG WMMP groundwater components is to maintain or restore aquifer pressure, as affected by CSG production, to levels that avoid risk of adverse		
	he proponent must implement the Stage 1 CSG WMMP approved in writing by the Minister, on the advice of an expert panel. The proponent must not exceed the groundwater rawdown limits for each aquifer specified in the Stage 1 CSG WMMP. The Stage 1 CSG WMMP will apply until the commencement of the approved Stage 2 CSG WMMP.	Compliant	The Stage 1 CSG Water and Monitoring Management Plan has been submitted
tage 2 CSG Water Monitoring and			
	within 18 months from the date of the approval of the action the proponent must submit for the approval of the Minister, a Stage 2 Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and	Deadie	The Stage 2 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan is not due for
f	hanagement Plan (Stage 2 CSG WMMP). The proponent must allow a further 3 months for the Minister's consideration of approval of the Stage 2 CSG WMMP including seeking advice om an expert panel.	renaing	submission until 21 August 2012 and is in the process of being prepared.
C.	addition to the matters in the Stage 1 CSG WMMP, the Stage 2 CSG WMMP must also include: roundwater monitoring and management. an ongoing CSG water treatment program to ensure that any water to be used for rei-injection, or used for other groundwater repressurisation options, is treated at least equal to th		
E .	rater quality of the receiving groundwater system or environment; the method, data and the evidentiary standards necessary to support a conclusion that an aquifer from which CSG water is being extracted is not hydraulically connected to other outlers:		
r	a groundwater quality and quantity monitoring plan to monitor the aquifers underlying the project area using a statistically and hydro geologically valid, best practice bore nonitoring network across the project area, and at least; the aquifers to be monitored and the rationale for selection;		
i	the number and locations of monitoring bores and their flow, pressure, head, and water quality characteristics; Ithe frequency of the monitoring and rationale for the frequency;		
\ \	c. baseline data for each monitoring site for comparison of monitoring results over the life of the project; the approach to be taken to analyse the results including the methods to determine trends to indicate potential impacts; groundwater drawdown threshold values and groundwater quality threshold values for each aquifer (based on regional groundwater modelling endorsed by the Minister) at which	Noted.	
r	nanagement actions (such as reporting or control line values for additional investigation, more intensive management action, make good, and cease operations) will be initiated to spond to escalating levels of risk, including increasing levels of drawdown, contamination of groundwater, or subsidence; in references to shandards and relevant policies and guidelines;		
i	iii. mechanisms to monitor, avoid, minimise, manage, and respond to risks; and performance measures, annual reporting to the Department, and publication of reports on the internet; oto 1: Threshold voluse will be identified in the plan and during the life of the approval and related conditions may be varied by the Minister on advice from an expert panel to		
,	ute 1: Interstant wates than the neutronia in the part was utility to the upproved and returned constants may be writed by the whitster on downer from an expert patient to effect the best ovailable data and scientific information. One 2: For clarity, the monitoring required under this condition may be undertaken jointly with others. seasons actions		
	nexceedence response plan that includes: mechanisms to avoid, minimise and manage risk of adverse impacts and response actions and timeframes that can be taken by the proponent if:		
i	threshold values for surface water quality and water environmental values specified in the CSG WMMP are exceeded; threshold values specified in the CSG WMMP for aquiffer drawdown or groundwater contamination are exceeded;		
 		Noted	Stage 2 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan is yet to be lodged for
mplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG VMMP	he proponent must implement the approved Stage 2 CSG WMMP, no later than 24 months from the date of the project approved		approval.
mplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG VMMP 4	he proponent must implement the approved Stage 2 CSG WMMP, no later than 24 months from the date of the project approval. here months before commencement of each subsequent major stage of the proponent's gas field development the proponent must submit a revised Stage 2 CSG WMMP for approval.	Noted	
mplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG WMMP 4 5	hree months before commencement of each subsequent major stage of the proponent's gas field development the proponent must submit a revised Stage 2 CSG WMMP for approval fithe Minister, who may seek the advice of an expert panel.	Noted	Stage 2 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan in the Lade V
mplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG WMMP 14 15	hree months before commencement of each subsequent major stage of the proponent's gas field development the proponent must submit a revised Stage 2 CSG WMMP for approva	Noted	Stage 2 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan is yet to be lodged for approval.
mplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG WMMP -4 -5 -5 -5 -6 -6 -7	hree months before commencement of each subsequent major stage of the proponent's gas field development the proponent must submit a revised Stage 2 CSG WMMP for approval the Minister, who may seek the advice of an expert panel. The Minister who may seek the advice of an expert panel. The Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and Management Plan should be based on the proponent's planned staged development within the project area over the total life of the project onsistent with approvals granted by the Queensland Government. The Proponent may only have, own, hold, take, or otherwise utilise sufficient CSG water as is required to undertake the approved activities within the approved project area.	Noted	
mplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG VMMP 4 5 6	hree months before commencement of each subsequent major stage of the proponent's gas field development the proponent must submit a revised Stage 2 CSG WMMP for approval fithe Minister, who may seek the advice of an expert panel. The Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and Management Plan should be based on the proponent's planned staged development within the project area over the total life of the project onsistent with approvals granted by the Queensland Government.	Noted	
Inplementation of Stage 1 and Stage 2 CSG IMMP	hree months before commencement of each subsequent major stage of the proponent's gas field development the proponent must submit a revised Stage 2 CSG WMMP for approval the Minister, who may seek the advice of an expert panel. The Minister who may seek the advice of an expert panel. The Coal Seam Gas Water Monitoring and Management Plan should be based on the proponent's planned staged development within the project area over the total life of the project onsistent with approvals granted by the Queensland Government. The Proponent may only have, own, hold, take, or otherwise utilise sufficient CSG water as is required to undertake the approved activities within the approved project area.	Noted Noted	

60	A reviewed and updated Stage 2 CSG WMMP must be submitted to the Minister for written approval. Commencement of each new stage of gas field development must not occur]	
	without approval. The proponent may undertake activities that are critical to commencement that are associated with mobilisation of plant and equipment, materials, machinery and personnel prior to the start of development only if such activities will have no adverse impact on MNES, and only if the proponent has notified the Department in writing before the activity is undertaken. The approved CSG WMMP must be implemented for the relevant gas field area	Noted	Condition is not yet triggered.
61	The Minister may, through a request in writing, require that the Stage 1 or Stage 2 CSG WMMP be revised or amended, which may include requirements for amendments to address		
	independent expert advice. Any such request must be acted on within the timeframe specified. Note: The Minister may throughout the project life seek advice from experts, or an expert panel. As a consequence specific matters identified through such advice may need to be addressed in the Plan. Where such advice is ought the proponent would be provided with opportunity to submit information and respond to the specific matters identified, in order	Noted	No correspondence has been received from the Minister requiring the Stage 1 CSG Water Monitoring and Management Plan to be revised.
	to ensure the Plan is based on the best available information. Review requirements will facilitate adaptive management, alignment with Queensland Government approval requirements, and account for potential cumulative impacts as new scientific information becomes available over the life of the project.		
Regional Groundwater Model			
62	To avoid or minimise direct or indirect adverse impacts on MNES, the proponent must:		
	a. develop a regional scale, multi-layer, transient groundwater flow model of the cumulative effects of multiple CSG developments; b. develop and implement an adaptive management framework, applicable at both the project scale and regional-scale, that includes monitoring and mitigation approaches to assess and manage the impacts of CSG developments, which takes into account the groundwater model of cumulative impacts required under (a); and		Correspondence from the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water,
	c. contribute data as requested over the life of the Project to inform a Basin-scale multi-layer, transient groundwater flow model of the cumulative effects of multiple CSG developments in the Surat and Bowen Basins. Note 1: In the absence of sufficient evidence to characterise and quantify potential impacts at the regional scale, this condition requires the model to be developed as an early	Compliant	Population and Communities dated 15 July 2011 confirms that APLNG's contributions to the regional groundwater model (being prepared by Queensland Water Commission (QWC)) is considered to satisfy this condition.
	warning system, informed by any other regional cumulative hydrological modelling, such that any hydrological changes can be identified at an early stage and appropriate, effective remedial actions implemented before irreversible environmental adverse impacts on MNES.		
63	The model required under condition 62 (a) must:		
	a. use the best hydrostratigraphic and hydrogeological information available at the time, to identify the likely cumulative impacts of multiple CSG developments across the Surat and Bowen Basins; b. detail all data relating to the hydraulic connectivity between aquifers and aquitards used to substantiate the model parameterisation;		
	Locked in dota reading out on Profession Committeening Selection requires and equipment used or Oscionance are mode parameterisation, i.e. be calibrated against measured piezometer responses in a reas where CSG development has commenced; i.e. in calibration to the reporting of model outputs — conform to the recommendations of the former Murray Darling Basin Commission Groundwater Modelling Guidelines; e. include:		Correspondence from the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water,
	E. include. Li water balances for the major aquifers affected by the CSG operations including the expected timeframe of any changes in water balance and pressure; ii. recharge versus extraction volumes for those aquifers; iii. details of justification for and assumptions regarding aquifer seal integrity (i.e. thickness and distribution of aquitards);		Conseptionate from the Department of Sustainationity, Errorionnelli, water, Population and Communities dated 15 July 2011 confirms that APLNG's contributions to the regional groundwater model (being prepared by Queensland Water Commission (QWC)) is considered to satisfy this condition.
	in quantification of hydraulic connectivity between different units (aquites and aquitards) through drill stem and pump testing, MDTs (modular dynamic formulation test), MFTs (compact formation pressure test), dedicated aquitard monitoring bores with periodic falling/rising head testing, aquitard coring and core permeability testing including centrifuge permeaments without short pressure and any obsolic practices and considerable of the consi		
	v. quantification of the impacts of reinjection and other groundwater repressurisation techniques on aquifer water balances. f. provide for adaptive monitoring, through six-monthly reporting of monitoring results and new data, and annual updates of numerical simulation models and re-interpretation of results to relevant Queensland Government and Commonwealth agencing of the provided of the prov		
64			
	Subject to the approval of the Department, the requirement for a model under condition 62 (a) may be satisfied by the proponent's contribution to a regional groundwater model developed by the Queensland Water Commission (or its successor agency). Note 1: Where the proponent is conditioned (here or elsewhere under the approval) to address a matter that may be most efficiently managed by another party, whether another		Correspondence from the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water,
	CSG proponent or a Queensland Government agency, the proponent may discharge their responsibility under the condition by contributing financially and cooperating with other parties to meet the condition i.e. to develop a single representative regional model and/or to provided a single report from one or more proponents. Whole 2: It is understood that the Queensland Water Commission (QWC) will manage delivery of a cumulative groundwater model for the Surrat and South Bowen Basins. It is	Compliant	Population and Communities dated 15 July 2011 confirms that APLNG's contributions to the regional groundwater model (being prepared by Queensland Water Commission (QWC)) is considered to satisfy this condition.
65	Note 2.1 a times sound are Queenstiling Water Commission (CAVC) with individual sound of a model by the QWC. anticipated that the requirements of condition 62 (a) may be satisfied by the development of a model by the QWC.		
	If the requirements under condition 62 (a) are not met by the proponent's contribution to the QWC model, the Department may specify a timeframe for the obligations under 62 (a) to be satisfied by the proponent.	Noted	
Impact Assessment, Mitigation and Mo			
	The proponent must provide to the Department a copy of the groundwater impacts assessment, mitigation and monitoring measures required under conditions 10, 11, 12 and 14, Part 2, Appendix 2 of conditions imposed by the Queensland Coordinator-General in his report dated November 2010.	Compliant	Correspondence with the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities confirms subsidence baselining has been completed under a joint industry project and negotiations are underway to formalise ongoing
67	la addition, as part of a staged process of adaptive management of CSG development, the proponent must also provide the following in relation to subsidence: a. baseline and ongoing geodetic monitoring programs to quantify deformation at the land surface within the proponent's tenures. This should link from the tenement scale to the		Correspondence with the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water,
	a. baseline and ongoing geodetic monitoring programs to quantity detormation at the land surface within the proponent's refures. Init should link from the tenement scale to the widder region across which groundster extraction activities are occurring and any relevant regional program of monitoring b. modelling to estimate the potential hydrological implications of the predicted surface and subsurface deformation; and c. neasures for linking surface and subsurface deformation; and c. neasures for linking surface and sub-surface deformation arising from CSG activities.	Compliant	Population and Communities confirms subsidence baselining has been completed under a joint industry project and negotiations are underway to formalise ongoing periodic survey.
68	When requested by the Department, the proponent must provide to the Department all geodetic monitoring data and related information from the program. This data must be		
69	when requested by the open timent, the proponent must provide to the bepartment an geodetic monitoring data and related minimation from the program. This data must be provided within 30 days of request, or in a timeframe agreed to by the Department in writing.	Noted	No request received to date.
	The mitigation and monitoring measures required under condition 66 must be submitted to the Minister for approval with a proposed implementation schedule. The approved measures must be implemented in a timeframe specified by the Minister.	Pending	The Ground Water Impacts Assessment, Mitigation and Monitoring Report was submitted to DSEWPaC for approval on the 18 July 2011.
Springs Assessment, Mitigation and Mo	nitoring		
70	As a precautionary approach, the proponent must within 12 months of approval, or such other timeframe specified in writing by the Minister, survey for, reconfirm, and notify the		
	Minister of the presence or absence of any springs proximal to the project area and within 100 kilometres of modelled limits of aquifer draw-down or other such limits notified to the proponent by the Department. The survey: a must include the spring complexes approximately 25km north and north-east of Roma (including Six mile and Spring Ridge), and 100km west of Roma; and the high value spring		
	complexes east of the Taroom and Injune townships including Scotts Creek, Dawson River 8 and Cockatoo Creek springs; and b. may, with the written approval of the Minister comprise the proponent's contribution to a springs survey developed with input from the Department and undertaken by the Queensland Water Commission (or its successor agency).	Compliant	Study is being undertaken by QWC (as per condition 65 above).
	Note 1: This survey may include use of remote sensing and may be aligned or combined with similar survey requirements that are to be undertaken by other proponents or the Queensiand Water Commission. To avoid doubt, the survey must report on both discharge and recharge springs, as EPBC listed species may occur in association with either. Note 2: Surveys required under this condition may be undertaken by the proponent alone or in partnership with other CSG proponents.		
71a	If presence of the community of native species dependant on natural discharge of groundwater from the Great Artesian Basin, or listed threatened species that are reliant on springs, in		
	confirmed by a survey under condition 70, then the proponent must (unless the proponent is not able to gain access to the spring, even with the assistance of relevant government agencies): a. for springs within the project area - within 1 month of survey completion protect the ecological community and/or listed threatned species from gas field development activities by establishing and maintaining a minimum 200 m employee/contractor exclusion zone from the relevant springs within the project area, unless such access is required in an	Compliant	DSEWPaC confirmed in correspondence dated 15 July 2011 that the Queensland Water Commission's Underground Water Impact Report is considered to satisfy this condition.
	emergency, for environmental management, or for monitoring purposes; Note: The Constraints Planning and Field Development Protocol will also apply.		uns condition.
71b	Within 12 months of the survey completion provide to the Minister a management plan for all the relevant springs which includes:		
	i. a specific monitoring and remediation program to protect the ecological community and/or listed threatened species and to monitor and address cumulative impacts within the project area and within modelled limits of aquifier draw-down that may arise from CSG water extraction, including identifying trigger levels and responses in the case of changes to groundwater flow or quality in each relevant spring;		
	ii. a baseline analysis of four 3-monthly samplings to determine the seasonal presence or absence of all relevant springs, and to establish: the existence, distribution and extent of liste threatened species, aquatic macro-invertebrates; aquatic plants, water quality characteristics; spring physical parameters including seasonal variation, depth, and flow rate; aquifer source including hydrochemical and isotopic analysis, and comparison of water levels with respect to source aquifer potentioneric surface;		
	(iii. ongoing monitoring on a 6 monthly basis (to cover high and low rainfall seasons) over the life of the project in the region relevant to each spring: iv. analysis and calibration of the monitoring results against the baseline data (collected under (ii) of this condition) as the CSG water and gas extraction occurs over the life of the project;		DSEWPaC confirmed in correspondence dated 15 July 2011 that the Queensland
	In the shold values (such as reporting or control line values for additional investigation, more intensive management actions, make good, and cease operations) at which management actions will be initiated to respond escalating levels of impact and designed to protect The community of native species dependent on the natural discharge of groundwater from the Great Artesian Basin and listed threatened species in the case of changes to groundwater pressure, flow, or water quality in GAB springs; vi. specific mechanisms to avoid, minimise, and manage risks, and response actions that can be taken by the proponent where:		Water Commission's Underground Water Impact Report is considered to satisfy this condition.
	I. any threshold values for surface environmental values are exceeded; II. any threshold values for aquifer drawdown, water quality change, or aquifer contamination are exceeded;		
	Ill. subsidence or surface deformation occurs, particularly if it impacts on surface or groundwater hydrology; and		
	vm. peruniance incessor, reporting to the Department, and publication of reports of the interference with condition 8. The management plans may be developed by the proponent alone or in partnership with other CSG proponents.		
72	Any management plan required under condition 71(b) must be submitted to the Minister for consideration of approval including seeking expert advice from an expert panel. The		This conditions is not trice-and sufficient to the conditions in the conditions in not trice-and sufficient to the conditions in the condition of the conditions in the condition of the conditions in the conditi
	approved plan must be implemented within the timeframe specified by the Minister. The approved plan must be published on the internet within 20 business days of being approved by the Minister.	Noted	This conditions is not triggered until the release of the QWC report regarding the regional groundwater model.
73	The results of the baseline analysis under condition 71(b) must be made available to the Queensland Water Commission as part of the proponents' obligations in respect of the regions groundwater model under condition 62 (a) and provided on request to the Department.	Noted	
Notification of Threshold Breaches and			
74	Within 10 business days of the proponent identifying monitoring outcomes that indicate a risk of reduction in groundwater pressure or water quality, the proponent must notify the Minister in writing of the trend and the proponent's response action.	Noted	The Minister will be advised of any pressure reductions within the nominated timeframe. No such action has been required to date.
75	Within 10 days of identifying a surface or groundwater threshold value (for example, discharge water quality, environmental value, pressure, head, volume, or flow) being exceeded,		The Minister will be advised of any pressure reductions within the nominated
76	the proponent must advise the Minister in writing of the circumstances, the threshold exceeded, the immediate action taken by the proponent, and proposed action to remedy the breach and avoid a subsequent breach.	Noted	timeframe. No such action has been required to date.
- -	Immediate action may include a range of measures including but not limited to further monitoring and investigation, the ceasing of water/gas extraction and/or water discharge or use in the area affected, or such other measures as are appropriate, until investigations can be completed to determine the cause and remedial action. The proponent's proposed responsaction must be notified to the Minister in writing.		The condition has not been triggered for the reporting period.
77	The Minister may direct in writing that the proponent cease water/gas extraction and/or water discharge or use in the area affected, and if the Minister is not satisfied that the action proposed or taken by the proponent will remedy the situation. The Minister may direct the proponent to implement alternative action at the expense of the proponent.	Noted	No such instruction has been received from the Minister to date.
	proposed or taken by the proponent will remedy the situation. Ine Minister may direct the proponent to implement alternative action at the expense of the proponent. Note: The proponent will be provided with a reasonable opportunity to comment on any such direction before it is required to be implemented.		The second control from the Willister to udite.
Notification and Requirements about C	onstruction, Operation, Brine Management and Environmental Management Plans The proponent must notify the Department in writing when developing or revising construction, operational, groundwater, CSG water, brine management, salinity management,		
	Ine proponent must notify the Department in writing when developing or revising construction, operational, groundwater, Scis water, brine management, saintly management, reinforment management, or management, saintly management, or management or management or management or management or management or missing the proponent must in the notification indicate the relevant components of such plans relating to MMES and their management, and the timeframe for development and approval of the plans under Queenaland Government requirements.	Noted	
79	Where the scope of the plans relates to potential significant adverse impact on MNES, or involves management of MNES the plans must be submitted to the Minister for approval of		Limited impacts to MNES have been identified at the time of preparing this Annual
	those components. Approved components of plans must be implemented. Note: Where efficiency will be enhanced the proponent may also prepare and align management plans required under these conditions with the requirements of the Queensland Government as long as the relevant matters under the conditions of this approval are clearly and adequately addressed.	Compliant	Return. For the reporting period, 721.5 m of brigalow community has been clears as permitted by the EPBC Conditions.
Cumulative Impacts		T	
טצ	Any results from cumulative impact assessments relating to APLNG CSG activities undertaken by the proponent, the Queensland Water Commission (or its successor agency) or other third party; and any recommendations made by the CSG industry Monitoring Group (CIMG) to meet Queensland Governmen approval requirements for APLNG must also be provided to the Monister within 1 week of being finalised and received by the proponent, or in such bother timeframe specified by the Minister provided the approval of the relevant Queensland.		The results of any cumulative impact assessment studies will be provided within the specified timeframe.
81	Covermentate who if it week to being immissed and received by the proponent, or in addition to provision of the cumulative impact assessment information required under condition 80, the proponent must also address the following, in relation to potential adverse		
	In addition to provision of the cumulative impact assessment information required under condition 80, the proponent must also address the following, in relation to potential adverse impacts on MRS: a. cumulative impacts relating to all listed species and listed ecological communities within and outside project area, including The community of native species dependant on natural discharace of groundwater from the Great Artesian Basic:	Noted	The results of any cumulative impact assessment studies will be provided within the specified timeframe.
	discharge of groundwater from the Great Artesian Basin; b. any surface water and groundwater environmental values, including groundwater pressures and groundwater hydrochemistry which, if altered, may have an impact on listed specie and ecological communities within and outside project area;	5	

	Within 3 years of the date that the cumulative impact assessment report is completed by the Queensland Water Commission (or its successor agency), or alternatively by the proponent, or such other timeframe specified in writing by the Minister, the proponent must review that cumulative assessment and the report in the light of the most up-to-date information and the regional transient groundwater model required under condition 62 (a). The proponent must provide a report on the review to the Minister and at the same time publish the report on its website. Note: The assessment scope of the cumulative impact report is not limited to groundwater or surface water impacts. These conditions provide that, if the Minister believes that it is necessary or desirable for the better protection of a relevant controlling provision for the action, the Minister more provises the proponent to make, within a period specified by the Minister, revisions to a plan approved under these conditions. The Minister may make usual request in the light of the cumulative impacts assessment, or the review of the cumulative impacts assessment. Section 136(1)(b) of the EPBC Act additionally provides that the Minister may revoke, vary or add to a condition of this approval if the action has a significant impact that was not identified in assessing the action, and if the Minister relevantly believes it is necessary.	Noted	A review will be undertaken following the completion of the QWC groundwater impact assessment.
Decommisioning Plan			
	Within five years of the commencement of gas field development, the proponent must develop a Decommissioning Plan. The Plan must: a. require the progressive errowoid or reuse of infrastructure where gas field operations cease during the project life; b. establish management practices and safeguards to minimise environmental disturbance; c. ensure MNES are not impacted by progressive decommissioning, or final decommissioning of gas field infrastructure; d. define rehabilisation actions for the infrastructure sites following decommissioning including for: ii. optimising habitat and habitat connectivity for MNES; iii. enhancing pre-construction environmental quality; and iii. ongoing management during rehabilitation.	Noted.	This condition is not yet triggered. Decommissioning Plan is not required to be submitted until 2016.
84	The Decommissioning Plan must be submitted for the approval of the Minister. The approved Plan must be implemented.	Noted	This condition is not yet triggered.
Survey Data 85	All survey data collected for the project must be collected and recorded so as to conform to data standards notified from time to time by the Department. When requested by the Department, the proponent must provide to the Department all species and ecological survey data and related survey information from ecological surveys undertaken for MNES. This survey data must be provided within 30 days of request, or in a timeframe agreed to by the Department in writing.	Compliant	Data collection standard will be adopted as instructed by the Department. Data collected will be provided upon request as per the terms of this condition.
Publication of Protocol and Plans	The Protocol and all plans approved by the Minister under these conditions must be published on the proponent's website within 30 business days of approval by the Minister.	Compliant	Documents uploaded to Australia Pacific LNG website.
87	The Department may request the proponent to publish on the internet a plan in a specified location or format, and with specified accompanying text. The proponent must comply with any such request.		This condition has not yet been triggered.
Notification of Commencement			
88	Within 20 business days of the commencement of the action, the proponent must advise the Department in writing of the actual date of commencement.	Non-Compliant	APLNG notified the Department of the commencement of gas field related activities on the 34th business day (5 December 2011), with is outside of the 20
89	To a many long short from the date of this proposal the Minister cutifier the proposal is recitive that the Minister is an extifical that there have a compared to the compare		bushiness day timeframe. APLNG contacted the Department as soon as this nor- compliance was identified.
90	action, the action must not commence without the written agreement of the Minister.	Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered.
	The proponent must notify the Department in writing of the proposed dates for each subsequent major stage of gas field development at least 40 business days before their commencement, and within 20 business days notify actual commencement dates, and within 20 business days of any major variations to gas field development notify the variations.	Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered.
Request for Variation of Plans by Propo	If the proposest wants to set other than in accordance with a plan appropriately the Minister under these conditions the proposest must submit a revised plan for the Minister's		
92	approval.	Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered.
93		Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered.
Revision of Plans by Minister	en proportion max continue to important the Original plant.		, vi dovi uggodi.
94	If the Minister believes that it is necessary or desirable for the better protection of a relevant controlling provision for the action, the Minister may request the proponent to make, within a period specified by the Minister, specified revisions to a plan approved under these conditions. Without limiting this condition, the Minister may also make such a request following a study under x 2554 of the Water Act 2007.	Noted	No request has not been made by the Minister during the reporting period.
95		Noted	No request has not been made by the Minister during the reporting period.
96	b. submit the revised plan to the Minister for approval within the period specified in the request. The proponent must implement the revised plan on approval of the Minister.	Noted	No request has not been made by the Minister during the reporting period.
97	Until the Minister has approved the revised plan, the proponent must continue to implement the original plan.	Noted	No request has not been made by the Minister during the reporting period.
Minimum Timeframes for			
98	For any plan required to be approved by the Minister under these conditions, the proponent must ensure the Minister is provided at least 20 business days for review and consideratio of the plan, unless otherwise agreed in writing between the proponent and the Minister.	Noted	
Compliance with State Environmental a		Noted	
Provision of State Plans	•		
100	If a condition of a State approval requires the proponent to provide a plan then the proponent must: a. provide the plan to the Department or Minister on request, within the period specified in the request; and b. prepare and combine plans that meet both Queensland Government requirements and the Commonwealth requirements under this approval where this efficient. In doing so the proponent must clearly identify the respective responsibilities and how these are being addressed in relation to these conditions.	Noted	No requests for plans from the Queensland Government during the reporting period.
Timeframes 101	If these conditions require the proponent to provide something by a specified time, a longer period may be specified in writing by the Minister	Noted	No requests for plans from the Queensland Government during the reporting period.
Auditing			
	On the request of and within a period specified by the Department, the proponent must ensure that: a. an independent audit of compliance with these conditions is conducted; and b. an audit report, which addresses the audit criteria to the satisfaction of the Department, is published on the Internet and submitted to the Department.	Noted	No request has been made at the time of preparing this Annual Return
103			
	Before the audit begins, the following must be approved by the Department: a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit orteria.	Noted	No request has been made at the time of preparing this Annual Return
104	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit criteria. The audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table;	Noted	No request has been made at the time of preparing this Annual Return This condition has not yet been triggered.
	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit criperia. The audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect); g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report.		
104	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit crieria. The audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; g. certification by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect); g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent;	Noted Noted	
105	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit criperia. The audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect; g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report; and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report; take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit	Noted Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance to table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect; g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is destrified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report, take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit reports with the proponent to ensure compliance with conditions and before the issue of any directions. If the audit report identifies any non-compliance with conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must provide written advice to the Minister setting out the: a. actions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-compliance, or implement any other recommendation to improve compliance, identified in the audit report. Note: Independent third party auditing may include audit of the proponent's performance against the requirements of any plan required under these conditions.	Noted Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered.
105	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or compliance or compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect); g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is dentified in the audit report, take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit reports with the proponent to ensure compliance with conditions and before the issue of any directions. If the audit report identifies any non-compliance with conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must provide written advice to the Minister setting out the: a. actions taken by the proponent to ensure compliance with these conditions, and b. actions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-compliance, or implement any other recommendation to improve compliance, identified in the audit report. Note: independent third party auditing may include audit of the proponent's performance against the requirements of any plan required under these conditions, or a plan required to be approved by the Minister under these conditions:	Noted Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance to table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect; g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is destrified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is destrified in the audit report, take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit reports with the proponent to ensure compliance with conditions and before the issue of any directions. If the audit report identifies any non-compliance with conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must provide written advice to the Minister setting out the: a. actions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-compliance, or implement any other recommendation to improve compliance, identified in the audit report. Note: Independent third party auditing may include audit of the proponent must, when first becoming aware of a non-compliance with these conditions, or a plan required to be approved by the Minister under these conditions: a report the non-compliance and remedial action to the Department within five business days;	Noted Noted Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance to table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect; g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is destrified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is destrified in the audit report, take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit reports with the proponent to ensure compliance with conditions and before the issue of any directions. If the audit report identifies any non-compliance with conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must provide written advice to the Minister setting out the: a. actions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-compliance, or implement any other recommendation to improve compliance, identified in the audit report. Note: Independent third party auditing may include audit of the proponent must, when first becoming aware of a non-compliance with these conditions, or a plan required to be approved by the Minister under these conditions: a report the non-compliance and remedial action to the Department within five business days;	Noted Noted Noted Compliant	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/horn-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the progness to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor of that effect); g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance described in the audit report, as cline to the conditions and before the issue of any directions. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance described in the audit report, and critical interventions and the proponent of the support of the suppor	Noted Noted Noted Compilant	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit criteria. The audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited: a. the components of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on one that effect; g. certification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report, and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance with the proponent to ensure compliance with the proponent to ensure compliance with the conditions, and b. actions taken to prevent a recurrence of any directions. If the audit report identifies any non-compliance with the conditions, within 2D business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must provide written advice to the Minister string out the r.a. actions taken by the proponent to ensure compliance with these conditions, and b. actions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-	Noted Noted Noted Compilant	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109	a the independent auditor; and b. the audit criteria. The audit report must include: a the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions hat were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance to the proposent to the recommendations in the report fair, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that effect; g. recrification by the independent auditor of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report, and circle in some proposent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report, and circle in the audit report is submitted to the Department will discuss findings of audit reports with the proponent of the submitted of the Department the proponent must arrow of the report of the third in the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must arrow of the third in the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must arrow of the indicate strips of the audit report is submitted to the Department the proponent must provide with the conditions, within 20 business days; The proponent must: a. report the non-compliance and remedial action to the Department within five business days; b. bring the matter into compliance with in resconditions, or under section 458 of	Noted Noted Noted Compilant	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance 110 111 Annual Environmental Return	a the independent auditor; and b. the audit criteria. The audit report must include: a the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance to the matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance to the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit report identifies any non-compliance with the conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department will excuse findings of audit report identifies any non-compliance with the conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department must provide written advice to the Minister setting out the: a. actions taken by the proponent to ensure compliance with these conditions; and bactions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-compliance with the requirements of any plan required under these conditions, or a plan required to be approved by the Minister under these conditions: a. report the non-compliance and remedial action to the Department within five business days: b. bring the matter into compliance within a resonable time frame specifi	Noted Noted Noted Compliant Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance 110	a the independent auditor; and b. the audit criteria. The audit report must include: a the components of the project being audited; b. the conditions that were activated during the period covered by the audit; c. a compliance/non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance table; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance to the matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations on any non-compliance to the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must: a. implement any recommendations in the audit report, as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; b. investigate any non-compliance identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report and c. if non-compliance is identified in the audit report take action as soon as practicable to ensure compliance with these conditions. Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit report identifies any non-compliance with the conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department will excuse findings of audit report identifies any non-compliance with the conditions, within 20 business days after the audit report is submitted to the Department must provide written advice to the Minister setting out the: a. actions taken by the proponent to ensure compliance with these conditions; and bactions taken to prevent a recurrence of any non-compliance with the requirements of any plan required under these conditions, or a plan required to be approved by the Minister under these conditions: a. report the non-compliance and remedial action to the Department within five business days: b. bring the matter into compliance within a resonable time frame specifi	Noted Noted Noted Compliant Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period.
Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance 110 111 Annual Environmental Return 112	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conformative were archived using the period covered by the audit; b. the conformative were archived using the period covered by the audit; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations and you compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report. The proponent must: The proponent must: The proponent must: The proponent must report and the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report, and the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report, and the proponent of the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report and the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report and the proponent of the proponent of the proponent of the recommendation of the proponent of the propone	Noted Noted Noted Compliant Noted	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period. This document is part of the Annual Environmental Return, Gas Field EPBC 2009/4974 satisfies this condition.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance 110 111 Annual Environmental Return	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit creport audit include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the components of the project being audited; b. the components of the project being audited; d. a description of the vidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations in any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations in any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations in any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations in any non-compliance or other matter to improve compliance; e. recommendations in the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent does not respond within 20 business days of a request to do so by the auditor, a statement by the auditor to that ended to a side of the findings of the audit report. The financial cost of the audit will be borne by the proponent. The proponent must include the proponent of the findings of the audit report as direction in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; a. implement any recommendations in the audit report as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; a. implement any recommendations in the audit report as directed in writing by the Department after consultation with the proponent; a. implement any recommendations in the audit report as a directed in writing by the Department with the conditions, Note: The Department will discuss findings of audit reports with the proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the audit report as a direct proponent trust provide in the proponent trust provide in the proponent trust provide in the proponent trust p	Noted Noted Noted Compliant Noted Compliant Compliant	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period. This document is part of the Annual Environmental Return, Gas Field EPBC 2009/4974 satisfies this condition.
105 106 107 Reporting Non-Compliance 108 Record Keeping 109 Financial Assurance 110 111 Annual Environmental Return 112	a. the independent auditor; and b. the audit report must include: a. the components of the project being audited; b. the conformative were archived using the period covered by the audit; b. the conformative were archived using the period covered by the audit; d. a description of the evidence to support audit findings of compliance or non-compliance; e. recommendations and you compliance or other matter to improve compliance; f. a response by the proponent to the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the report (or, if the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report. The proponent must: The proponent must: The proponent must: The proponent must report and the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report, and the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report, and the proponent of the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report and the proponent of the recommendations in the audit report and the proponent of the proponent of the proponent of the recommendation of the proponent of the propone	Noted Noted Noted Compliant Noted Compliant Compliant	This condition has not yet been triggered. This condition has not yet been triggered. The Department has been notified as soon as any non-compliance has occurred and notified in the timeframe. Records sustaining to all activities associated with or relevant to the conditions a maintained and kept up dated on a regular basis. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period. No financial assurance has been requested by the Minister during the reporting period. This document is part of the Annual Environmental Return, Gas Field EPBC 2009/4974 satisfies this condition.